San Jose State University

Benchmark Comparisons August 2011

Report

ngagennetrot guide institutional improvement efforts, NSSE creatvedBienchmarks allenge, Active and Collaborative Learning, Student-Faculty IntErationarian ronment. This Benchmark Comparisons Report compares the performance of your itique, orivides comparisons with two sets of highly engaging intistits, those with tions.

SE questionase BNSSE questions have different onese sets ach question's nts' rescaled responses were then averaged. Thus a benchmarwise border enclare the transition of the set of the set

San Jose State University compared with:

Level of Academic Challenge (LAC)

	San José State	California Satte		Carne	egie Cla	ISS	NSSE 2011		
			Effect		0	Effect			Effect
Class	Mean ^a	Mean ^a Sig ^b	Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Size ^c
First-Year	51.9	53.1	09	53.4	*	11	53.7	*	13
Senior	56.8	57.6	06	57.5		05	57.4		04

^a Weighted by gender and enrollment status (and by institution size for comparison groups).

^b * p<.05 ** p<.01 ***p<.001 (2-tailed).

Mean Comparisons

^c Mean difference divided by the pooled standard deviation.

Distributions of Student Benchmark Scores



San José State Californi8.56 0 c 8.5(né)-8.82-7.9(a)418 f Q5(or)-8.5.9(S)8.0 trSséa36 0 c 8 3 0 1.56 3 -1NSni8.55(ni8.5ES)-5(2-8.8(a)011)] 25 32 8 0 1.521

Note: Each box and whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (bottom of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot shows the benchmark mean. See page 2 for an illustration. See pages 10 and 11 for percentile values.

Level of Academic Challenge (LAC) Items

Challenging intellectual and creative work is central to studearning and collegiate quality. Colleges and universities of student achievement by emphasizing the importance of acaeffort cand setting high expectations for student performance.

			Effect			Effect			Effect
Class	Mean ^a	Mean ^a Sig ^b	Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Size ^c
First-Year	42.3	43.8	09	43.1		05	43.2		05
Senior	49.3	51.8 *	15	52.1	**	16	51.3		11

^a Weighted by gender and enrollment status (and by institution size for comparison groups).

^b * p<.05 ** p<.01 ***p<.

Note: Each box and whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (bottom of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot shows the benchmark mean. See page 2 for an illustration. See pages 10 and 11 for percentile values.

Active and Collaborative Learning (ACL) Items

Students learn more when they are intensely involved in the time in the time intense inten

Student-Faculty Interaction (SFI)

Mean Comparisons

San Jose State University compared with:

	San José State	California Stte		Carnegie Class			NSSE 2011		
			Effect			Effect			Effect
Class	Mean ^a	Mean ^a Sig ^b	Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Size ^c
First-Year	31.0	32.9	10	34.4	***	19	34.5	***	19
Senior	34.3	38.3 ***	19	41.6	***	35	42.0	***	36

^a Weighted by gender and enrollment status (and by 0 4.6sT Tw (SeT T)40.2(en)21.5(t)6.6(st)6.6(at)6.6(u st)6.6-4 (31T3 T)40.2 st i * riso48 (<iso99)]TJ-4243(0iso48 (5iso48 (5is)8 (5iso48 (5iso48 (5iso48 (5iso48 (5is)8 (5iso48 (5iso48 (5iso48 (5iso48 (5is)8 (5iso48 (5is)8 (5iso48 (5iso48 (5is)8 (5iso48 (5is)8 (5iso48 (5is)8 (5iso48 (5is)8 (5iso48 (5is)8 (5iso48 (5is)8 (5i

Enriching Educational Experiences (EEE)

Mean Comparisons

San Jose State University compared with:

	San José State	California	Satte	Carne	egie Cla	ISS	NS	1		
			Effect		0	Effect			Effect	
Class	Mean ^a	Mean ^a Sig ^b	Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Size ^c	
First-Year	24.5	26.4 **	15	26.5	**	16	27.8	***	25	
Senior	35.8	37.0	07	38.3	*	14	40.4	***	26	
a = †Áì YiØ 2 i‰W qz ß ¥ ØrHW'@	§Å Fq§ü`²á ae0∼ÀaìT QOEt^g∙ íQA'QMÁ'0	QLud ñ'ÚyÒ á'QNÅ !ñ'Fq %e&Y	R RJâ®Ñ ¼ïPÞR R	Å!¬R !iF@ –W‡Y–	€Â 0 .Àq åqQïÁ	±ñ• W uNb‰ TÁ	.Šb‰W uAŽQ‡63•y	/\$W æ()-¦(Ÿj% ski	8÷'K ñ6 u ‡68W ÕqQåq0	2

Supportive Campus Environment (SCE)

Mean Comparisons

San Jose State University compared with:

	San José State	California Satte		Carne	egie Cla	SS	NSSE 2011		
			Effect		0	Effect			Effect
Class	Mean ^a	Mean ^a Sig ^b	Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Size ^c
First-Year	59.1	61.9 *	15	63.0	***	20	62.7	***	19
Senior	51.8	57.3 ***	28	59.6	***	40	59.1	***	37

^a Weighted by gender and enrollment status (and by institution size for comparison groups).

^b * p<.05 ** p<.01 ***p<.001 (2-tailed).

^c Mean difference divided by the pooled standard deviation.

Distributions of Student Benchmark Scores



Note: Each box and whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (bottom of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot shows the benchmark mean. See page 2 for an illustration. See pages 10 and 11 for percentile values.

Supportive Campus Environment (SCE) Items

Students perform better and are more satisfied at collegesrencommitted to their success and cultivate positive working acial relations among different groups on campus.

- " Campus environment provides the support you need to help goeestuacademically
- " Campus environment helps you cope with your academic reponsibilities (work, family, etc.)
- " Campus environment provides the support you need to thrive socially
- " Quality of relationships with other students
- " Quality of relationships with faculty members
- " Quality of relationships with administrative personnel and offices

NSSE 2011 Benchmar Comparisons With Highly Engaging Institutions San Jose State University

San José State compared with

			NSSE	2011		
	San J	losé State				
	Μ	ean ^a	Mean ^a Sig ^b	Effect size ^c	Mean ^a Sig ^b	Effect size ^c
LA	C 5	51.9	56.7 ***	37	60.6 ***	70
AC	L 4	12.3	48.0 ***	34	52.1 ***	56
SI	FI :	31.0	39.3 ***	43	43.7 ***	60
EE	E 2	24.5	30.5 ***	45	33.7 ***	67
SC	E	59.1	67.4 ***	45	71.2 ***	67
LA	C 5	56.8	60.5 ***	27	64.1 ***	56
AC	L 4	19.3	56.2 ***	41	60.1 ***	61
SI	FI :	34.3	49.3 ***	69	56.0 ***	97
EE	E :	35.8	46.7 ***	61	55.3 ***	-1.18
SC	E	51.8	64.9 ***	69	68.7 ***	91

Senior

Note: Each box and whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top and 195th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot shows the benchmark mean. See page 2 for an illustration. See pages 10 and 11 for percentile values.

Mean SD^b

NSSE 2011 Benchmar Comparisons Detailed Statistics and Effect Sizes San Jose State University

							Sen	niors					
	_	Maara	0404104100		Dist		Charling			Refe	rence Gr	roup	
	_	Mean	Statistics		Disti	Porcon	Statist	ICS		Compa	rison Sta	atistics	Effort
	_	Mean	SD [♭] SE	EM ^c	5th 2	5th 50	thes 75	5th 9	5th	Freedom [®] [ean Diff. S	sig. ^f	size ^g
LEVEL OF ACADEMIC CHA	LENGE (LAC)											
San José State	(N = 270)	56.8	14.6	.9	33	47	57	67	79	9			
California State		57.6	14.3	.1	34	48	58	68	81	9,508	9	.331	06
Carnegie Class		57.5	14.2	.1	33	48	58	68	80	39,109	7	.389	05
NSSE 2011		57.4	14.2	.0	33	48	58	67	80	133,130	6	.487	04
Top 50%		60.5	13.6	.1	37	51	61	70	82	39,622	-3.7	.000	27
Top 10%		64.1	13.0	.2	42	56	65	73	84	287	-7.3	.000	56
ACTIVE AND COLLABORATIN	/E LEARNING	i (ACL)											
San José State	(N = 290)	49.3	16.9	1.0	19	38	48	62	8	1			
California State		51.8	17.3	.2	24	38	52	62	81	10,043	-2.6	.013	15
Carnegie Class		52.1	17.6	.1	24	38	52	62	81	41,165	-2.8	.007	16
NSSE 2011		51.3	17.7	.0	24	38	52	62	81	140,701	-2.0	.055	11
Top 50%		56.2	17.1	.1	29	43	57	67	86	37,825	-6.9	.000	41
Top 10%		60.1	17.8	.2	33	48	62	71	90	7,379	-10.9	.000	61
STUDENT-FACULTY INTERA	CTION (SFI)												
San José State	(N = 276)	34.3	19.1	1.2	6	22	33	44	72	2			
California State		38.3	20.7	.2	11	22	33	50	78	294	-4.0	.001	19
Carnegie Class		41.6	21.0	.1	11	28	39	56	83	279	-7.3	.000	35
NSSE 2011		42.0	21.2	.1	11	28	39	56	83	276	-7.6	.000	36
Top 50%		49.3	21.7	.1	17	33	50	67	89	281	-15.0	.000	69
Top 10%		56.0	22.5	.3	22	39	56	72	94	324	-21.6	.000	97
ENRICHING EDUCATIONAL E		S(EEE)											
San José State	(N = 264)	35.8	16.8	1.0	10	22	36	47	6	6			
California State		37.0	17.4	.2	11	24	36	50	67	9,304	-1.2	.267	07
Carnegie Class		38.3	17.9	.1	11	25	37	51	69	38,330	-2.6	.021	14
NSSE 2011		40.4	18.2	.1	12	27	40	53	72	264	-4.7	.000	26
Top 50%		46.7	17.8	.1	17	34	47	59	76	49,516	-10.9	.000	61
Top 10%		55.3	16.6	.2	26	44	56	67	82	6,449	-19.6	.000	-1.18
SUPPORTIVE CAMPUS ENVI	RONMENT (S	CE)											
San José State	(N = 255)	51.8	20.2	1.3	17	39	53	64	8	9			
California State		57.3	19.7	.2	25	44	58	72	92	9,117	-5.4	.000	28
Carnegie Class		59.6	19.6	.1	28	47	61	72	94	37,669	-7.8	.000	40
NSSE 2011		59.1	19.5	.1	25	47	58	72	92	128,270	-7.3	.000	37
Top 50%		64.9	18.9	.1	33	53	67	78	97	36,042	-13.0	.000	69
Top 10%		68.7	18.6	.2	36	56	69	83	100	6,392	-16.9	.000	91

^a All statistics are weighted by gender and enrollment status. Comparison group statistics are also weighted by institutional si

^b Standard deviation is a measure of the amount the individual scores deviate from the mean of all the scores in the distributio

^c Standard Error of the Mean: Use SEM to compute a confidence interval (CI) around the sample mean. For example, thehe 5% of is ftvalues that is

95% likely to contain the true population mean, equal to the sample mean +/- 1.96 * SEM.

^d A percentile is the point in the distribution of student-level benchmark scores at or below which a given percentageark beauchenfall.

^e Degrees of freedom used to compute the t-tests. Values vary for the total Ns due to weighting and whether equal varias and every every for the total Ns due to weight and whether equal varias and every every for the total Ns due to weight and whether equal varias and every every

^f Statistical significance represents the probability that the difference between the mean of your institution and that pairs group occurred by chance.

⁹ Effect size is calculated by subtracting the comparison group mean from the school mean, and dividing the result by stamplanded eviation.

IPEDS: 122755