
2. Work in concert with the Office of Faculty Affairs to advise and orient new faculty in the areas of academic freedom and professional responsibility;
3. Educate the academic community about academic freedom and professional responsibility by disseminating S 93-12 and holding workshops and forums as necessary;
4. Be available to consult confidentially with members of the University on issues related to academic freedom and professional responsibility;
5. Address complaints of infringements of academic freedom brought by members of the University, issuing findings as appropriate;
6. Advise and assist the Office of Faculty Affairs on the handling of all complaints of breaches of faculty responsibility, including complaints of scientific misconduct.

II. Election, Qualifications, and Terms of Membership

BFR will consist of nine members, one member from each of the Colleges and one from the Unit 3 General Unit membership. Members of BFR are elected by the faculty of their respective College or Unit. The electorate will consist of all faculty who are subject to the provisions of [S93-12](#).

1. BFR is the first body on campus to hear allegations from a faculty member of an infringement of academic freedom by a member of the University administration. (If a student brings such an allegation against a faculty member or if a faculty member brings one against a colleague, the procedure is provided in IV below, since K will be treated as an allegation of professional misconduct.) It is understood throughout this document that more than one person may be the complainant or the subject in an allegation of misconduct. In his proceedings on such allegations, the Board will be confidential and discreet.

2. After such an allegation is brought to the Board, the Chair will ask it to form a sub-committee of two members to conduct an initial assessment of the complaint (the sub-committee will not include a member from the complainant's home college or unit). The sub-committee will determine:

- a. If the complaint is timely (i.e., if the complaint has been lodged by the end of the second semester following the one during which the alleged conduct took place).
- b. If the complaint is such that informal resolution is possible;
- c. If the alleged conduct violates S 93-12;

3. If the sub-committee determines that the complaint meets the above three criteria, it will:

- a. Ask the complainant to submit a written statement of the allegations that includes all relevant details and an indication of the remedy that is sought;
- b. Provide the subject with a copy of the complaint;
- c. Inform the subject of the right to respond in writing to the complaint;
- d. Interview concerned parties and witnesses, if any;
- e. Attempt to forge a resolution of the complaint acceptable to all parties, including the Board.

4. At the end of its initial assessment, the sub-committee will reach one of the following three conclusions, subject to a confirming vote of BFR:

- a. The complaint is without merit, a finding presented to the subject and complainant in writing;
- b. A resolution of the complaint signed by the complainant, the subject, and the Chair of the Board within forty academic-year days of the original filing;
- c. Notification of the principal parties, the President and the Chair of the Academic Senate that the complaint is not resolvable at this level. If in this case the sub-committee believes that a violation of S 93-12 has occurred, it will also report this finding to BFR, which may recommend to the President and the Chair of the Senate that further action be taken.

5. Records of the sub-committee's assessment will be kept according to the following guidelines:

- a. If the complaint has no merit, all record of it will be destroyed, except the written notification of such a finding sent to the complainant and the subject;
- b. If the complaint is resolved, copies of the complaint, documentation, and resolution will be sent to the principal parties, the President, and the Chair of the Senate; after ten days, all copies are to be delivered to the Office of Faculty Affairs, which will maintain the file for four years, after which time they will be destroyed;
- c. If no resolution is possible at this level, all records of the complaint will be sent to the Office of Faculty Affairs, which will keep them for four years, after which time they will be destroyed;
- d. If in cases b or e, a new allegation is lodged against the subject of the complaint, a new four-year period of record keeping begins with the filing of the more recent complaint;
- e. The records of such a complaint and related documents will only be available to appropriate University officials during a formal action.

IV. Procedures for Addressing Allegations of Professional Misconduct by the Faculty

1. Allegations of professional misconduct by a faculty member are made in one of the following administrative offices: the office of the dean of the faculty member, the office of Faculty Affairs, the office of Student Affairs, or the office of Human Resources. The office receiving the complaint shall write an intake interview report and transmit it as soon as possible, usually within twenty-four hours, to the Office of Faculty Affairs.

2. The Office of Faculty Affairs shall refer complaints involving the matters listed below to the offices indicated. Those complaints will not ordinarily be reported to BFR. Complaints of all other alleged violations of S 93-12 shall be handled in consultation with BFR, as described in item 3 below.

- a. Potential violations by one or more faculty members of the civil rights of non-faculty, including potential acts of unlawful discrimination, shall be referred immediately to the office of EEO/AA for further processing.
- b. Complaints from a faculty member about issues that are covered by the Agreement shall be referred immediately to CFA for handling according to formal grievance procedures. Such complaints include allegations from a faculty member that s/he was discriminated against on the basis of certain prohibited factors.
- c. Allegations by one or more students against a faculty member in relation to instructional issues, including unfair grading, shall be immediately referred to the Ombudsman for handling in consultation with the Academic Fairness Committee.

to do so.

b. Whether the complaint is amenable to Informal resolution and, if so, along what lines. Members of the BFR will usually assist in achieving informal resolution.

c. Monitoring investigations, especially with respect to any discipline-specific issues.