Modified. See S999

S94-5, F95-1 BOARD OF ACADEMICFREEDOM AND PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY (BFR); ETHICS

Legislative History:

At its meeting of May 2, 1994, the Academi**S**enate approved the following Policy Recommendation presented by David **M**seil for the Professional Standards Committee.

Implements S 93-12.

Slightly amended by F 95-1.

Modified by S99-9. changes were not addethts document. You may view the changes on the document posted <u>399-9</u>

ACTION BY THE UNIVERSITY PRESIDENT:

S94-5 "Approved and signed as Univershylicy" by J. Handel Evans, May 11,1994. F95-1 "Approved as University Policy" Spied Robert L. Caret, October 2, 1996.

BOARD OF ACADEMIC FREEDOM AND PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY

Whereas, University Policy<u>S9312</u>, Academic Freedom and Professional Responsibility at San Jose State University has no implementing mechanism; therefore be it

Resolved: That the Academic Senate of San Josee Stativersity adopts the attached statement establishing a "Board of Academic Freedom and Profess Resolved: "Board of Academic Freedom an

BOARD OF ACADEMIC FREEDOM AND PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY

I. Mission

The Board of Academic Freedom and Professional Responsibility (BFR) is charged with implementing S 93-12, Academic Freedom and Profesal Responsibility, except as noted in Section IV below. To carry out this charge, BFR will:

1. Monitor the state of academfreedom and professional responsitive at the University, making reports and recommendations (including revisions of all documents relating to academic freedom and responsibility). The Academic Senate and the University community as it deems necessar

- 1. BFR is the first body on campus to heargrations from a faculty member of an infringement of academic freedom by a membrethe University administration. (If a student brings such an allegation against a membrethy member or if faculty member brings one against a colleague, the procedure is provirided below, since K will be treated as an allegation of professional miscondua.) It insiderstood throughout this document that more than one person may be the complainant or ubject in an allegation of misconduct. In his proceedings on such allegations, the Boraitable confidential and discreet.
- 2. Affer such an allegation is brought to **Breard**, the Chair will ask it to form a sub-comminee of two members to conduct an initial assessment of the complaint {the sub-comminee will not include a member from the complainant's home college or unit). The sub-comminee will determine:
 - a. If the complaint is timely (i.e., if the complaint has been lodged by the end of the second semester following the which the alleged conduct took place).
 - b. If the complaint is such thatformal resolution is possible;
 - c. If the alleged conduct violates S 93-12;
- 3. If the sub-comminee determines that the coint haeets the above the criteria, it will:
 - a. Ask the complainant to submit a wornt statement of the allegations that includes all relevant details and and includes all relevant details and another than the relevant details are the relevant details and another than the relevant details are the relevant details and another than the relevant details are the relevant details and another than the relevant details are the relevant details and another than the relevant details are the relevant details and another than the relevant details are the relevant details and another than the relevant details are the relevant details and another than the relevant details are the relevant details and another than the relevant details are the relevant details and another than the relevant details are the relevant det
 - b. Provide the subject with copy of the complaint;
 - c. Inform the subject of the right tespond in writing to the complaint;
 - d. Interview concerned parties witnesses, if any;
 - e. Attempt to forge a resolution of the complaint acceptable to all parties, including the Board.
- 4. At the end of its initial assessment, **shob**-committee will reachne of the following three conclusions, subject to a confirming vote of BFR:
 - a. The complaint is without merit, a finding presented to the subject and complainant in writing;
 - b. A resolution of the complaint signed by the complainant, the subject, and the Chair of the Board within forty academiear days of the riginal filing;
 - c. Notification of the principal parties, the President and the Chair of the Academic Senate that the complaint is not resolvable at this level. If in this case the sub-committee believes that a violation of S 93-12 has occurred, it will also report this finding to BFR, which maccommend to the President and the Chair of the Senate that further action be taken.

- 5. Records of the sub-committee's assessmill rittle kept according to the following guidelines:
 - a. If the complaint has no merit, addord of it will be destroyed, except the written notification of such a finding settet the complainant and the subject;
 - b. If the complaint is resolved, copies of the complaint, documentation, and resolution will be sent to the principalarties, the President, and the Chair of the Senate; after ten days, all copies are to be delivered to the Office of Faculty Affairs, which will maintain the file for four years, after which time they will be destroyed;
 - c. If no resolution is possible at this the, all records of the complaint will be sent to the Office of Faculty Affairs, which time they will be destroyed;
 - d. If in cases b or e, a new allegation is lodged against the subject of the complaint, a new four-year period of record keeping begins with the filing of the more recent oomplaint;
 - e. The records of such a complaint **aela** ted documents will only be available to appropriate University of tials during a formal action.
- IV. Procedures for Addressing Allegation is Professional Misconduct by the Faculty
 - 1. Allegations of professional misconduct bijaculty member are made in one of the following administrative offices the office of the dean of the faculty member, the office of Faculty Affairs, the office of Student Affairs, or the office of Human Resources. The office receiving the complaint shall write an intainterview report and transmit it as soon as possible, usually within tenty-four hours, to office of Faculty Affairs.
 - 2. The Office of Faculty Affair shall refer complaints involving the matters listed below to the offices indicated. Those complaints will coordinarily be reported to BFR. Complaints of all other alleged violations of S 93-12 shall be be and led in consultation with BFR, as described in item 3 below.
 - a. Potential violations by one or moteculty members of the civil rights of non-faculty, induding potentiacts of unlawful discrimination, shall be referred immediately to the office of EEO/AA for further processing.
 - b. Complaints from a faculty memberbout issues that are covered by the Agreement shall be referred immediate CFA for handling according to formal grievance procedures. Suchmodaints include allegations from a faculty member that s/he was discriminated against on the basis of certain prohibited factors.
 - c. Allegations by one or more studentsing a faculty member in relation to instructional issues, including unfair ging, shall be immediately referred to the Ombudsman for handling in consultation with the Academic Fairness Committee.

to do so.

- b. Whether the complaint is amenable to Informal resolution and, if so, along what lines. Members of the BFR will usually assist in achieving informal resolution.
- c. Monitoring investigations, especially th respect to any discipline-specific issues.