
2001/2002 Academic Senate**MINUTES
December 10, 2001****I. The meeting was called to order at 2:05 p.m. and attendance was noted. Thirty-nine Senators were present.****Ex Officio:**Present: Caret, Brent, McNeil, Peter,
Shifflett, Martinez**CASA Representatives:**Present: Chen, Glogoski, Malloy
Absent: Lu**Administrative Representatives:**Present: Kassing, Rascoe,
Goodman, Dorosz**COB Representatives:**

Present: Donoho, Nellen

Deans:Present: Andrew, Breivik
Absent: Sigler**ED Represent:**Present: Rickford, Lessow-Hurley
Absent: Katz**Students:**Present: Khaghani, Tsai
Absent: Deveza, Anderson, Grotz, Lee**ENG Representatives:**Present: Pour, Singh
Absent: Hambaba**Alumni Representative:**

Absent: Hollands

H&A Representatives:Present: Williams, Sabalius, Vanniarajan, Manning
Absent: Van Hooff, Fink**Emeritus Representative:**

Present: Buzanski

SCI Representatives:

Present: Hamill, Matthes, Stacks, Veregge, Garcia

Honorary Senators (Non-Voting):

Present: Norton

SOS Representatives:

Present: Nuger, Baba

Absent: Young 7.86 0 0 7.86 127.27c -0.0093 Tw 7.86 9 390.24 Tm(f7.T/TT1 1 Tf711 Tc 0.0008 Tw 7.86 0 0 7.86 258.84 416.5opqn07.90..86 124.56 39

IV. Executive Committee Report

A. Minutes of Executive Committee

There were no Executive Committee minutes.

Budget Advisory Committee Minutes

Budget Advisory Committee Minutes of December 3, 2001

B. Consent Calendar was approved.

C. Executive Committee Action Items - None

V.

Unfinished Business -

A. Senator Peter gave a report on the AIM Task Force. Senator Peter said that the AIM

mandatory obligations. We had to pay for CMS/Peoplesoft, EEO 665, the Joint Library, University Advancement Office rental, CSU Risk Management Pool (property insurance), Auditors, ADA Deaf and Hard of Hearing Program, Business Classroom Renovation, and an utility shortfall of .5 million. However, we finished the year with one of the largest budget roll-forwards in SJSU history, \$2.7 million. Student revenue accounted for an additional \$185,242, the summer YRO session brought in \$1.8 million, and we received \$1.4 million from the auxiliaries due to EEO 753. This roll-forward was applied to 2001/2002 continuing budget obligations resulting in an overall reserve of discretionary funds of \$781,297.

Questions for Senator Kassing:

Senator Peter inquired about President Caret's promise to maintain the athletics budget at 2.4% of the overall budget. Specifically, Senator Peter said that he was under the impression that the President had originally promised that the athletics budget would not exceed 2.4% of the *general fund* budget, but that it now appears that the athletic budget is being compared to the *entire* university budget. Senator Peter asked if his recollection and characterization are correct. Senator Kassing agreed that Senator Peter's statements were indeed correct, but that it is more appropriate to focus on the overall university budget because athletics produces revenue that accrues to many different parts of the university.

Senator Donoho asked where are the reserve funds shown from the previous year? Cynthia Haliasz said last year's reserve is shown on page 12.

Senator Sabalius said it appears that only 30% of the total budget went to instruction. Senator Sabalius said this seems like a very low amount, and asked if this amount was in line with other universities. Senator Kassing said that 30% seemed low; he was unclear how Senator Sabalius arrived at the 30% figure. Senator Sabalius asked if there were goals to increase this percentage. Senator Kassing said no, because other units in the university need funds to help instructors do their job.

Senator Buzanski asked if the student fees were lower due to summer YRO, where did the \$1.8 million in fees come from? Senator Kassing said this money is a result of the difference in the FTE we budgeted for, compared to the actual FTE/fees we received.

Senator Kassing said that the Athletics department had a \$361,686 deficit last year. The Athletics department was given a loan that it must pay back over the next three years.

B. Curriculum and Research Committee - Senator Donoho presented a final reading of AS 1164, Policy Recommendation: Teaching Associate Fee Waivers. Senator Donoho said that the name of the committee has been added to the top left side of the first page, and the names and votes of the members of the committee have been added to the bottom of the second page. In addition, a reference has been added to S99-2.

Questions:

Senator Stacks asked about the safeguards built into the policy. Senator Donoho said that there are two safeguards built into the policy. First, the Senate can review it every two years. Next, there is a cap of 3% built in to protect the budget.

Senator Manning asked what the difference is between the first and second whereas clauses. Senator Donoho said that they distinguish the different levels of Teaching Assistants and Graduate Assistants.

Senator Malloy asked if International Teaching Assistants would be getting a fee waiver at the California Resident rate, or at the International Student tuition rate. Senator Donoho said the fee waiver would be at the California Resident tuition fee waiver rate.

Debate:

Senator Hamill urged passage of AS 1164.

Senator Peter said he supports AS 1164 but has mixed feelings about it. He supports the proposal because it is "the right thing to do," but believes it sets a bad precedent. Senator Peter would like to have seen this fee waiver program considered for funding with all other proposals submitted to the BAC. By passing a university policy, we are establishing mandatory funding for this program, without having it compete with other programs that need funding.

Senator Buzanski urged support of AS 1164. Senator Buzanski said that Senator Peter is considering this from the budget priority

E. Statewide Academic Senate- Senator Peter gave a brief report on the recent Diversity Retreat at the CSU. Senator Peter said it was a good meeting, and the student projects were very interesting.

X. The meeting was adjourned at 4:52 p.m.