

**Minutes of the Executive Committee Meeting
March 8, 2010**

Present: Kaufman, Phillips, Heiden, Gleixner, Baker, McClory, Lessow-Hurley, Lee, Meldal, Najjar, Selter

Absent: Backer, Roldan, Whitmore

Late: Von Till

Guests: Nance

1. **Consent Calendar:** Unanimously approved
2. **Laptop:** Spartan Daily story last Wednesday said that the University may require

4. Nominees for the Athletic Director Review Committee: President Whitmore asked the Senate to review the Athletic Director in same manner as the VPs, because there was no other policy. Using the review process used for the VPs was suggested by the Athletics Board.

VP Najjar has been getting calls from alumni that are worried about the Senate's involvement and that the review of the Athletics Director will be more of a review of Athletics than of the director.

There is a difference with the other VPs in that the Athletics Director has a termed contract. Another factor in the concern is that the review is taking place in the last year of his contract. Also, the community doesn't understand the Senate's role is to send out a call for nominees and to forward the names of those selected to the President. Also, a point was raised that previous directors were rumored to have refused to be reviewed.

The Athletics Board charter does have the role of reviewing the director. However, it is awkward for them as the Director sits on the Board. The Provost emphasized that the review should be of the director and his managerial skill, not on the performance of coaches or teams. The Athletics Board has been constituted and refocused to ensure student athlete success. It has been at the request of the

The Executive Committee voted for 3 administrators' names to be sent to the President. They are Cathy Busalacchi, Emily Allen, and Dennis Jaehne.

The Executive Committee voted for sending 3 staff names forward Mireya Salinas, Mari Hernandez, and Carey Netzloff.

5. Enrollment Management: The Enrollment Advisory Committee developed 11 guiding principles. We need a statement of guiding principles of enrollment management as either a policy, a Sense of the Senate Resolution, or a Presidential Directive. Some members felt it should be a policy through the Senate, because it reinforces the shared governance referenced in the principles. Others felt a presidential directive endorsed by Senate would be more expedient with careful, tweaking of the wording by the Enrollment Advisory Committee. A counterargument was that because it is principled and well thought out it should go through the Senate. Other comments seem to allude that we don't trust the Senate. VP Phillips verified that until another policy is formed, the Enrollment Management Team is using these principles to develop the 11/12 plan so it is urgent in that sense, but he also feels that it is important that it undergo the proper procedure. A motion was made that the Executive Committee bring an amended version of this to the Senate floor for a first reading. The Executive Committee voted and the motion passed (4-0-8).

The Executive Committee discussed replacing the wording of #8 and #9 with "regionally" focused wording. It was suggested replacing "regionally focused" in #8 with "programmatic service area focus". The Executive Committee discussed that "region" leaves it open to different interpretations (like Pacific Rim, Si Valley) beyond service area. It was suggested that, "while regionally focused" be eliminated, because the mission is not to provide employees for the Si Valley job market. The counterargument was that this is really a statement of fact. A friendly amendment was made to add "other stakeholders" at the end (which would include employees). A motion to remove the first sentence of the rationale in #8 failed.

A friendly amendment was made to remove "regional" from #9 and change it to "SJSU is committed to engage in partnerships, consortia, and other agreements...."

A motion to strike "guiding" from the title, subtitles, and other points it comes up in text unanimously passed.

A question was brought up about what is "alternative revenue sources" in #3. VP Phillips explained this was things like special session.

A friendly amendment was made to change #9 to "global education continuum."

A friendly amendment was made to strike "(such as science labs and computers)" from #10.

6. Sustainability Board: O&G is suggesting modifying Campus Planning Board to include the charge of sustainability. The goal is to add a centralized place to guarantee that the campus is making a coordinated effort towards sustainability. The Campus Planning Board is concerned that the planning role of committee will get lost under the

