RTP Guidelines Department of Child and Adolescent Development (ChAD)

		1
	Example of baselineCandidatehas demonstrated adequate quality of instruction (indicated steps taken to correct problems, supportive observations student evaluations within norm) in assigned courseteaching in alignment with the course curriculum established by the department (noting there is no departmental expectation that faculty independently design courses)In alignment with the departmental expectation that faculty teach a range of cour tenure track faculty should demonstrate movement toward breadth in types of courses tau prior to tenureby demonstrating adequate quality of instructionatrleast 3coursesprior to tenure and promotionTenured faculty should demonstrate quality of instruction (updating conterpedagogical approacheas well as reflecting current research) in at least 3 courses the period of review.	i ses, ight
Good	"In addition to the baseline as described above, the candidate has documented a degree innovation within the teaching assignment. This could mean that the candidate has effect taught an unusually wide rangecourses, or that the candidate has created one or more ne courses to fill important curricular needs, or that the candidate has documented the use o impact practices in teaching. Candidates meeting this level of achievement have at least s student evaluations above the norms, when taken in context of the nature, subject, and le classes taught. Example of G7 Te.3 (t)212.1 (.3d()T007 Tw 0 Tr -6.241 0 Td -4 (g)4402)-12.1 (.d-12.1 (vely w f high some vel of

In line with existing University policy, the depatent expects that tenured faculty should have developed a consistent and coherent body of research, though this expectation is balanced with the recognition that areas of scholarship will evolve and change over the course of a career. Indicators of scholar/Iproductivity may include (but are not limited to) conference presentations, book chapters, books, edited volumes, contributions to encyclopedia or handbooks, successful grant applications and/or peer reviewed publications. In line with the field of Child and Adolescent Development, the department values restarchmeets the standards of peer review. This may include a range of scholarly works such as quantitative or qualitative enspirations, metaanalyses, theoretical work, edited book chapters, etc. in which there is evidence of a rigorous peer review process. Peer reviewed publications will be weighted more heavily in evaluations of scholarly productivity than other forons cholarshipConference presentations that have passed through peer review are also valued as an indicator of progress toward (estot

Finally, while service to the community is valued and encouraged when it aligns well with the candidate's interests and expertise, these forms of service are not required and are not meant to replace service to students, depart**onextil**lege Service to the profession within Child and Adolescent Development is also valued, but given the limited opportunities for participation in professional associations, it is not required and should not replace service to students, department, college, and university.

The departmental guidelines for service align fully with the current University RTP policy to beinterpreted in the context of departmental expectations outlined above levels, where possible, candidates should provide documentation of service activities to illustrate their contributions.