%&' (&)*+&\$-&""*"&\$ >5?*\$@"06013\$A0"\$B&?C)37\$. C??*11\$ 09\$%&7\$<9<Z\$. 4 - /YMTU\$ NSS"06* (\$! *S&"3H*+3\$XT@\$VC5(*)5+*1\$ D+\$I *F&)A\$OA\$@"06013\$>5+?*+3\$! *)\$M&15+0L\$[\$&H\$S)*&1*(\$30\$"*S0"3\$3F&3\$3F*\$@0)535?&)\$.?5*+?*\$(*S&"3H*+3&)\$ XT@\$VC5(*)5+*1\$\(&3*(\$%&"?F\$0]L\$<9<Z^\$F&6*\$I **+\$"*+*Q*(#@*"\$<u>4+56*"1537\$@0)5?7\$.0=P]</u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

\$ \$ MU\$ _*&3F*"\$, &335H*"L\$[+3*"5H\$! *&+L\$. 0?5&)\$. ?5*+?*1\$, & `H5\$X&H&1CI "&H&+5&+L\$[+3*"5H\$N110?5&3*\$! *&+\$A0"\$X*1*&"?F\$ \$ /&H*1\$, **L\$. *+50"\$! 5"*?30"L\$4@PB&?C)37\$. *"65?*1\$ \$ X&?F&*)\$B"*+?FL\$MF&5"L\$@"OA*115O+&)\$.3&+(&"(1\$MOHH533**\$OA\$3F*\$N?&(*H5?\$.*+&3*\$ \$

)

17, 2023 27-28 2028* (1

*Per S15-7, 4.3.3, Guidelines that display a date more than five years old calculated from the time of the submission of the dossier shall be considered invalid, except as provided below in "Continuity of guidelines throughout review period."

\$

\$

\$

\$

! NTYU\$ \$

James Lee, Senior Director, Faculty Services

89:#; <8#<89=\$ H&' (&)*+&#I &""*"&J 1K1C#* (C\$ QQQ#1K1C#* (CRS"06013RA&?C)37P1C??*11\$

RTP Scholarly/Artistic/Professional Achievement Guidelines Department of Political Science

(Approved by Political Science Faculty 9-0) with 1 Abstention March 17, 2023

Preamble

!

!

The Department of Political Science exercises its prerogative under S15-7 to create department

standard criteria for teaching and service will serve the needs of our department, but that the great diversity and range of scholarly achievements appropriate within our discipline does warrant the elaboration of department specific guidelines.

Scholarship must support broad range of teaching and service assignments

The Department of Political Science is a mid-sized department. Approximately 70% of our FTES

citation indexes, but this does not reduce their true importance within a particular specialty area. While publications in highly selective journals are prized, they are not the norm in our department or the discipline more generally.

- 2. Public law faculty may publish either in mainstream political science journals or sometimes in law journals. Since law journals have different methods for review than academic journals, we expect publications in law journals to be accompanied with an explanation of the review process.
- 3. Political theory has a very limited range of specialized journals. It is also rare for political theorists to publish in mainstream political science journals. Instead, they tend to rely on academic books, interdisciplinary journals, and extra-disciplinary journals such as in philosophy, criminology, ethnic studies, education, ethics, and history.
- 4. Public administration encompasses a range of academic journals, including both specialized publications devoted solely to public administration, as well as interdisciplinary journals from related fields, such as political science, sociology, psychology, economics and computer science, which publish articles with a focus on public administration. Additionally, practitioner journals are also part of this domain.

Appropriate venues beyond conventional journals and monographs

- 1. Common throughout multiple branches of political science are books that collect thematic essays and edited or co-edited special editions of journals. These are welcome, although candidates should document the review process for their contributions.
- 2. Given the u

!

ability to speak on political topics, we view the dissemination of expertise through national and local media as potentially a valuable exhibition of scholarship. Media appearances, interviews, op-eds, etc. need to go beyond citizen

S15-

(4.2.1). The Department of Political Science provides these profiles

If a Political Science candidate has achievements that are roughly parallel with the kinds and

anticipate that some of our candidates will have achievements that are not anticipated in these

3.3.2.3 Baseline. The candidate has, over the course of the period of review, created a body of completed scholarly/artistic/professional achievements and shows the promise of continued growth and success within his/her discipline (S15-8.)

Baseline 1. A sole authored article in a highly selective journal has probably reached this level

Good 3. A consistent pipeline of articles whether sole authored or not (4-6 in total), appearing more or less annually in any reputable peer reviewed journals.

Good 4. Some peer reviewed publications joined with a particularly influential series of reports or technical documents aimed at an audience of political, governmental, and/or governance professionals. These documents must be independently reviewed and found to have a demonstrable b

Good 5. Some peer reviewed publications, joined with a particularly influential series of media interviews, editorials, and/or public testimony. These activities must be independently reviewed

disciplinary expertise.

Good 6. Some peer reviewed publications combined with the extensive application of a faculty ise via collaborative relationships and/or projects with community, government, and/or and campus organizations, provided these activities have been independently reviewed and found to have a demonstrable benefit to the public.

Good 7. Some peer reviewed publications accompanied by additional research presentations or other scholarly achievements and at least one external RSCA grant equal to or larger than \$5,000.

Excellent. In addition to a good performance as described above, this level requires achievements of both sufficient quality and quantity to establish a significant, important, and growing reputation *within the candidate's field. Excellence in scholarly/artistic/professional achievement requires a* body of work that is recognized as significant within the discipline (S15- 8.)

Excellent 1. Annual publications (5-6 in total), at least one of which appear in highly selective journals.

Excellent 2. An academic book, accompanied by additional journal articles or other scholarly achievements.

Excellent 3. A portfolio of regular peer reviewed publications such as that described above (Good 3) could be enhanced to qualify as excellent if it is accompanied by a series of reports, technical documents, extensive media contact, public testimony, and/or collaborative relationships and/or projects with community, government, and/or and campus organizations. Such documents/activities must be independently reviewed and found to have been of a significant benefit to the public and to be linked to faculty m

Excellent 4. A consistent pipeline of articles whether sole authored or not (7 or more), appearing more or less annually in any reputable peer reviewed journals.

Excellent 5. Annual publications (5-6 in total) or an academic book, accompanied by additional research presentations or other scholarly achievements and at least one external RSCA grant equal or larger than \$5,000.