# Retention, Tenure, and Promotion Guidelines Psychology Department San José State University

APPROVED BY VOTE OF THE TENURED AND PROBATIONARY FACULTY (17 APPROVE, O DO NOT APPROVE, O ABSTAIN) ON FEBRUARY 23, 2018.

The purpose of these guidelines are to assist RTP committees and administrators outside of the , and highlight high impact

practices central to our departmental mission. We also describe synergistic practices and accomplishments. The bulk of these guidelines describe how **Scholarship and Professional Achievements** in psychology are evaluated with respect to the general policy language in S15 8.

Consistent with S15 7, these guidelines are inclusive. They provide a sense of "typical" activities, evaluative processes, and evaluative criteria in the categories of achievement for our discipline. They are not exclusive; the department recognizes that there are many ways for

discovery of knowledge about the biological,

psychological, and sociocultural processes that affect behavior and to share that knowledge with our students and the scholarly community. The Department integrates undergraduate and graduate education, teaching, research, applied training, and service activities to further the overall mission of the University.

Across all of its programs, the Psychology Department serves over 1300 majors. The iences]; MS, lifornia Board of Behavioral Sc

ferings. Lower division offerings

sychology (Psyc 001, Area D1), Identity Development and Prejudice (Psyc nild and Adolescent Psychology (Psyc 082, Area D1), and Elementary Statistics

(Stat 095, Area B4). Upper division offering include: Writing Workshop (Psyc 100W, Area Z), and Psychology of Prejudice (Psyc 191, Area S).

Tenured and tenure track faculty typically teach a mix of undergraduate and graduate level dasses.

## Synergistic Practices and Accomplishments

**Student mentoring.** Mentoring students in the scientific research process fits Policy S15 7's definition of synergistic as "practices and accomplishments that span more than one category of achievement."

In terms of academic assignment, faculty may teach research methods and statistics courses, as well as individualized study classes, that involve research mentoring inside the classroom. See I. Evaluation of Effectiveness in Academic Assignment for additional information.

In terms of service, providing research experiences and mentoring outside the tassroom constitutes service to students as defined in S15 8, 24.21 ("Service to students. Advising, mentoring, and participating in activities to enhance student success that are not subsumed in teaching or the primary academic assignment."). Finally, the mentoring experience may contribute to a faculty member's scholarly or professional achievements. For example, the projects that students contribute to may result in conference presentations or publications for the faculty member. Students may also be co authors on these presentations and publications. See II. Evaluation of Scholarly, Artistic, or Professional Achievement for additional information

**Consultation**. Some forms of consulting work may be synergistic. Providing expertise may constitute a service to the profession (S15 8, 2.4.2.4). If the consultation results in a tangible product related to scholarship (e.g., technical report, policy paper, workplace or community intervention), then it may also constitute SAPA. Some consulting projects may involve students, which the department recognizes as a synergistic practice. See **II**. **Evaluation of Scholarly**, **Artistic, or Professional Achievement** for additional information.

## I. Evaluation of Effectiveness in Academic Assignment

Evaluating whether courses taught are well crafted and appropriate for the catalog description can be evidenced in several ways, including Student Opinion of Teaching Effectiveness (SOTE) quantitative scores, subjective feedback, and peer observations. It is standard practice in our department that SOTEs for all Fall and Spring classes are included in RTP evaluations. Regarding peer observations, the department has developed and used, with periodic revision, a <u>standard reporting form</u>. According to <u>departmental policy</u> adopted in Fall 2013, tenured and tenure track faculty receive one direct observation per year until seven years after hire, across the full range of courses taught. Following the seventh year, direct observations will occur every three years for all faculty until full professorship is reached. Candidates for promotion to full professor must have at least two different courses observed. Upon promotion to full professor, direct observations will occur upon request for post tenure review. The Chair, RTP committee, or a faculty member can request additional direct observations at any point.

Should any concerns be identified in student evaluations, peer observations, or other performance evaluations, it is important that the candidate explicitly articulate specific actions taken to address these concerns, as this demonstrates the candidate's commitment to improving her or his teaching effectiveness.

**High Impact Practices** Psychology faculty engage in a variety of high impact practices, and one that is a central feature of our department is the degree to which faculty involve students in scholarly activity, both inside and outside the classroom. Some faculty may teach research methodology and statistics courses at the undergraduate or graduate level. This practice may also include specialized mentoring such as individualized study, directed readings, and applied fieldwork experience (Psyc 180,184,186, or 298).

Outside of teaching research methods and statistics courses, tenured and tenure track faculty may involve students in their research and scholarly activity, allowing students to advance their knowledge and skills and make first hand contributions to the science of psychology. These experiences prepare students for a variety of post baccalaureate experiences in the workplace and graduate school by developing skills crucial for success in the workplace.

# Categories and Examples of SAPA for Psychology and Their Evaluative Criteria

#### **Publications**

# **Examples**

Journal articles

Conference proceedings

Books, textbooks

Book chapters, encyclopedia entries

Invited papers or presentations

Monographs

Treatment manuals

Technical reports

Book reviews

Popular press articles (author, not subject of article)

Letters to editor

Invited blog posts that demonstrate scholarship

Curricular materials for publishers (e.g., study guides, test banks, lecture slides, videos)

**Translations** 

Brochures/pamphlets in conjunction with professional or governmental organizations

#### Evaluative criteria

Publication types Manuscripts (published, in press, or accepted for publication) subjected to peer review are considered superior to those that were not peer reviewed. Publications in venues other than peer reviewed professional journals or conference proceedings are given less weight than those subjected to peer review and will be evaluated on an individual basis. For all publications, candidates should describe the type of review (if any) received and provide evaluative information. Such information could include metrics that indicate the quality of individual publications (e.g., a journal's impact factor, the competitiveness and/or prestige of the journal, an article's number of citations, circulation of a professional newsletter, recognition by an organization or agency) and the quality of the candidate's larger body of work (e.g., h index, i10 index, overall number citations). Alternative metrics (e.g., number of downloads) may also be appropriate as indices of impact if justified by the candidate, particularly for SAPA products that are newly published and/or do not appear in traditional academic journals (e.g., software programs created by the candidate).

Invited papers are weighted more than unsolicited papers in the sense that invited papers indicate that an invitation provides evidence of a candidate's stature in her/his field. Work

completed while a faculty member at SJSU is valued more highly than work conducted elsewhere (e.g., in graduate school, as a postdoc).

Articles that must survive a more competitive process (e.g., the journal rejects a high percentage of submissions), that appear in a prestigious journal (e.g., one with a high impact factor, or recognized as a leading journal in the candidate's field), or that are frequently cited (as noted in citation indices) are given more weight in the evaluation of faculty performance.

Authorship. In psychology, co authored articles are common, so co authored papers are not necessarily valued less than single authored papers. In cases in which the candidate is one of several authors, first authorship is counted most heavily, except in areas in which the most senior author appears last. Candidates should note situations if they were the last author due to their senior or supervisory status on the article. Candidates can also indicate their status by stating if they were the corresponding author, with corresponding author status weighted more heavily. Regardless of the authorship order, the candidates should describe their contribution to each publication.

#### **Presentations**

# **Examples**

Paper presentations at conferences, workshops, training sessions/demonstrations, seminars, and so forth.

Poster presentations

### Evaluative criteria

The criteria for evaluating presentations are the same as those for evaluating publications. The mode of presentation (oral vs. poster) may not always reflect the prestige of the presentation, so the mode pese—should not be used as an evaluative criterion. Instead, candidates should indicate, where relevant, the prestige or scope of the conference (i.e., international, national, local). Candidates could also demonstrate achievement by noting the acceptance rate for presentations or other measures that indicate the prestige of the conference and accepted presentations (e.g., peer review, intended audience).

# Grants, contracts, and cooperative agreements

### Examples

External grants, contracts, cooperative agreements (e.g., from government agencies, companies, research organizations, or charitable foundations).

Internal grants (e.g., from the CSU Chancellor's Office, the SJSU Department of Psychology, SJSU College of Social Sciences, SJSU Provost's Office, SJSU Office of Research).

#### Evaluative criteria

**Funding type.** Funded proposals are considered superior to non funded proposals. External funding is considered superior to internal funding. The total amount of direct costs awarded will be considered. Overall, the scientific/scholarly merit of each project will also be considered, and candidates should describe the merits of their project.

Candidate involvement. The candidate's position on the proposal will be considered on a case by case basis, with lead positions (e.g., Principal Investigator, Project Director, Co Principal Investigator, Co Investigator) weighted more heavily than supportive positions (e.g., Methodologist, Consultant). Clinical, data analysis, or other consultant activities conducted on grant funded projects may be considered SAPA when they provide specific expertise integral to the research process (e.g., administering a specialized clinical assessment measure in a psychotherapy research t ВО ar redFon sur Α р nvol projects me Α Αt meaA A at.g. У

D

## Patents, software, hardware, inventions, and so forth

# **Examples**

**Patents** 

Software

Inventions

Other unique intellectual property

#### Evaluative criteria

Candidates will describe the product and their role in developing, bringing to market, and so forth, of the product. Candidates should also provide information regarding the importance of the product using criteria for previously described SAPA (e.g., impact, inclusion of students).

#### Awards for SAPA

# **Examples**

External (e.g., professional organizations, governmental agencies) Internal (e.g., SJSU, CSU)

### Evaluative criteria

Candidates should note any awards for excellence in SAPA (e.g., early career awards, best conference paper). External awards are weighted more heavily than are internal awards (conferred by any organizational unit within SJSU). Awards that are broader in scope (e.g., international) will be weighted more heavily than those that are more limited in scope (e.g., local). Candidates should provide information/evidence of the scope of the award and the prestige of the awarding body in their field and beyond.

#### III. Service

Although a large department, expectations for service for psychology faculty do not differ from S15 8 policy on criteria and standards.