

We live in a time where there is an overwhelming amount of information available online. In the

One way to tell if a source is biased or not is to ask yourself the following questions:

Is the source spinning the truth to create information that they want the reader to believe?

Is it reporting the truth in an unbiased way that allows the reader, listener, or viewer to decide how to feel or think about the information presented?

If this is about a group of people, is this framing this group of people in a positive or negative way? How would this group of people be hurt if they were framed in this way?

Are there other groups that are portrayed in a positive light that benefit from this framing of the truth?

What does this framing of the truth tell us about what the authors want the reader to believe about the group they are portraying in a negative way? How do they want the reader to think about this group or to treat them? How do they justify their argument?

You can check websites that debunk misinformation or report on the amount of media bias a website has, such as [Politifact](#), [FactCheck.org](#), [Allsides](#), [Washington Post Fact Checker](#), [Snopes](#), [Reuters Fact Check](#), and [Media Bias Fact Check](#).

for the fertility clinics that provide necessary services. This ruling endangers the fertility clinics in Alabama that provide IVF, those that have embryos stored, and the future of family planning in our state. Furthermore, this ruling, along with Alabama many, it is the state, not the person, who can decide whether they can become pregnant.

possesses the constitutional authority and responsibility to be the final arbiter concerning whether a frozen embryo is protected by the laws of this state. Without such guidance, I fear

directive and to pass legislation this session that protects fertility care in the state and ensures

Union (ACLU) of Alabama, February 20, 2024.

<https://www.aclualabama.org/en/news/alabama-courts-extreme-ruling-puts-ivf-treatments-risk>

Sample 3:

The safety profile of Wuhan coronavirus (Covid-19) "vaccines" seems to be widely dependent upon the batch from which a given injection comes. Some batches are exceptionally toxic, a new review has found, while others are slightly less toxic.

London-based researcher Craig Paardekooper figured this all out via data he collected from the government-run Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS). He learned that "one in 200 of the [covid vaccine] batches are highly toxic," while the vast majority of them are not as toxic, at least in the shorter term.

"In fact, 70 percent of the batches for the vaccine-only produce one adverse reaction report in total," Paardekooper writes, adding that "80 percent of the vaccine batches only produce one or two adverse reaction reports."

Upon closer look, Paardekooper discovered that certain anomaly batches "produced thousands of times the number of adverse reactions." These batches stand out from the vast majority of other batches in that one caused 1,012 adverse reactions, another caused 1,394 adverse reactions, and another caused 4,911 adverse reactions.

The people unfortunate enough to have gotten jabbed from one of the really toxic batches are now either dead or seriously injured, with little, if any, chance at recovering.

To put this all into perspective, Paardekooper found that just four percent of Pfizer's covid jab lots accounted for all of the death reports associated with that mRNA injection, while five percent of Moderna's accounted for all death reports associated with that mRNA injection.

The other 96 percent and 95 percent of the two companies' batches, respectively, were orders of magnitude safer than the extremely lethal batches in question. And we know from the data that these highly toxic batches were disseminated across multiple states.

For Pfizer, just 2.9 percent of all covid jab lots were distributed to more than 12 different states, and were associated with 96.5 percent of all deaths, 95.5 percent of all hospitalizations, and 94.7 percent of all adverse event reports linked to that particular brand of jab.

Comparatively, 97.1 percent of the remaining Pfizer lots were distributed to fewer than 12 states and were associated with just 3.5 percent of all product death reports, 4.5 percent of all hospitalizations, and 5.3 percent of all adverse event reports.

To help people better understand the situation and to evaluate the data for themselves, Paardekooper set up a website of his own called HowBadIsMyBatch.com that contains a tutorial explaining how anyone can replicate his findings using relevant data from VAERS.

Retired pharmaceutical industry executive Alexandra Latypova did just that after connecting with Paardekooper directly, using a team of researchers with experience in clinical trials, data analysis, statistics, pharmaceutical industry regulations, manufacturing, and research and development to further analyze the figures.

Latypova uploaded a 20-minute video highlighting the disparities between covid jab lots and pointing to the importance of Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) laws that are "designed to ensure safety and consistency of pharmaceutical products which must be produced in large quantities to very exacting standards of purity, stability, consistency, etc."

"Breaches of these practices have historically resulted in tragic cases of adulterated, tainted, or poisoned drug products which resulted in loss of life and severe injuries," she further wrote in an email correspondence.

"The failure to comply (with these practices) ... shall render such drug to be adulterated,' and that is a pretty significant crime to sell adulterated products."

"This is intentional, premeditated mass murder," added attorney Reiner Fuellmich about the matter. "There's absolutely no doubt about it because nothing else makes any sense."

Tyson Foods, Inc., a multinational corporation based in the U.S, recently shuttered a pork processing factory in Iowa, leading to the loss of 1,200 American jobs. Shortly after, Tyson announced plans to hire tens of thousands of illegal aliens. The food giant already employs roughly 42,000 foreign nationals, and a Tyson human resources rep stated earlier this month that find them. Tyson has been offering \$16.50 per hour wages and free access to immigration

in the two major government programs to help employers combat unlawful employment, E-

held a job fair for illegal aliens in New York City, and has partnered with a pro-refugee nonprofit to hire thousands of foreign-born workers. By firing Americans and hiring foreign nationals in

- b. ACLU: <https://www.allsides.com/news-source/american-civil-liberties-union>
 - c. Explainer: Alabama's highest court ruled frozen embryos are people. What is next?: <https://www.reuters.com/business/healthcare-pharmaceuticals/alabamas-highest-court-ruled-frozen-embryos-are-people-what-is-next-2024-02-23/>
 - d. Alabama's Bizarre Ruling Isn't Really About the Embryos | Opinion: <https://www.newsweek.com/alabamas-bizarre-ruling-isnt-really-about-embryos-opinion-1872618>
 - e. Warnings of the impact of fertility treatments in Alabama rush in after frozen embryo ruling: <https://apnews.com/article/alabama-supreme-court-from-embryos-161390f0758b04a7638e2ddea20df7ca>
3. **Sample 3:** -right website that spreads misinformation about conspiracy theories such as anti-vaccination claims. The sources he uses (Global Research, Alexandra Latypova, and Craig Paardekooper) have all been debunked.
- a. Facebook Removes Conspiracy Site Natural News: <https://www.allsides.com/news/2019-06-10-1624/facebook-removes-conspiracy-site-natural-news>
 - b. Natural News: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Natural_News
 - c. COVID-19 Vaccines Tested in Clinical Trials, Despite Bogus Social Media Claims: <https://www.factcheck.org/2023/01/scicheck-covid-19-vaccines-tested-in-clinical-trials-despite-strong-bogus-social-media-claims-strong/>
 - d. Canadian professor's website helps Russia spread disinformation, says U.S. State Department: <https://www.cbc.ca/news/science/russian-disinformation-global-research-website-1.5767208>
 - e. The Shady Site That Shows Anti-Vaxxers Will Believe Anything: <https://www.thedailybeast.com/craig-paardekoopers-shady-site-shows-covid-anti-vaxxers-will-believe-anything>
4. **Sample 4:** -immigration group.
- a. Anti-Immigrant: <https://www.splcenter.org/fighting-hate/extremist-files/ideology/anti-immigrant>
 - b. Immigration Reform Law Institute needs to check the facts: <https://thehill.com/blogs/pundits-blog/media/307224-immigration-reform-law-institute-needs-to-check-the-facts/>
 - c. Politifact: <https://www.politifact.com/factchecks/2024/mar/21/sean-duffy/no-tyson-foods-didnt-announce-it-would-hire-52000/>
 - d. Associated Press News: <https://apnews.com/article/fact-check-misinformation-tyson-migrants-employment-6eadd701916d4326469148e01bba9008>
 - e.

Union (ACLU) of Alabama, February 20, 2024.

<https://www.aclualabama.org/en/news/alabama-courts-extreme-ruling-puts-ivf-treatments-risk>

Defending Freedom, March 4, 2024. <https://adflegal.org>

Davis, William, "Tyson Foods Fires U.S. Workers, Exploits Illegal Aliens for Profits,"